## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

REC'D 1 4 JUN 2006

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATEENTABILITYCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file	e reference	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application PCT/EP2005/05060		International filing dat 11.02.2005	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.02.2004
International Patent Clas INV. A01G23/06 B0	ssification (IPC) or na 2C18/08 B02C18	ntional classification and B/14	IPC	
Applicant MULTI-TIP DESIGN	NS LIMITED et al	1.		
, and an active and active and active and active ac	and thank	ornited to trie applica	int according to Artici	r this International Preliminary Examining e 36.
2. This REPORT of	onsists of a total of	f 5 sheets, including	this cover sheet.	
		ANNEXES, compris		
a. 🛭 sent to th	e applicant and to	the International Bui	eau) a total of 3 she	ets, as follows:
⊠ shee and/c Admi	ts of the descriptio or sheets containing inistrative Instruction	n, claims and/or draw g rectifications autho ons).	rings which have bee rized by this Authority	n amended and are the basis of this report $\gamma$ (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	ts which supersede nd the disclosure in lemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but we the international ap	vhich this Authority co plication as filed, as i	onsiders contain an amendment that goes ndicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
			indicate type and nur celectronic form only, the Administrative Ir	nber of electronic carrier(s)) ,containing a , as indicated in the Supplemental Box astructions).
4. This report conta	ins indications rela	ating to the following	tems:	
Box No. I	Basis of the repor	rt		
☐ Box No. II	Priority	•		
☐ Box No. III	•	nt of opinion with rea	ard to novelty inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of in	vention	ard to novolty, invent	ve step and industrial applicability
⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned statem	ent under Article 35/	2) with regard to nove s supporting such stat	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
☐ Box No. VI	Certain document			
		the international app		
⊠ Box No. VIII	Certain observation	ons on the internation	al application	
Date of submission of the	demand		Date of completion of	this report
06.09.2005			13.06.2006	and report
Name and mailing address of the international			Authorized officer	
preliminary examining authority:			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	absolutes Palantem.
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d			Bunn, D	Quapan Pala
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89	2399-2086	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050609

_	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
1	. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it values otherwise indicated under this item.						
	☐ inte	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) plication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2. With regard to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement shee have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):							
	Description,	, Pages					
	1, 3-8	as originally filed					
	2 ,	received on 06.09.2005 with letter of 05.09.2005					
	Claime Num						
	Claims, Num	·	,				
	1-10	received on 06.09.2005 with letter of 05.09.2005	<i>i</i> ;				
	Drawings, Sh	heets					
	1/8-8/8	as originally filed					
		ence listing and/or any related table(s) see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	Ī				
3.		The Carle Hall of the Carle Hallor Of.					
	⊔ the d □ the c	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos.					
	$\Box$ the d	drawings, sheets/figs					
	☐ any ta	☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs						
	☐ the se	equence listing <i>(specify)</i> : able(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :					
	* If item	m 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050609

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-16

,

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

## Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

## Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### V. Reasoned statement

- Independent claim 1 is a combination of the features of originally-filed claims 1 & 2, while claims 2-16 correspond to claims 3-17 as filed, such that the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT are fulfilled.
- 2. US-B1-6 375 106 (D1) discloses a tooth 32 for use in a grinding wheel comprising a main body including a slot 34 comprising two substantially planar surfaces (fig.3) suitable for, in use, engaging with a slot in a rotor, and a cutting face 14 connected to and extending away from the main body, as in lines 1-4 of claim 1. The further feature "wherein, in use, the force in the plane of the rotor is transferred onto the rotor via one of the planar surfaces provided on the slot" merely relates to the manner in which the tooth is used, and not to any technical feature thereof. As it would be perfectly possible to mount the tooth of D1 such that said force is transferred to the rotor via one of said planar surfaces, it follows that this feature is likewise derivable from D1.

The cutting face 14 of D1 comprises a single tip arranged transversely to the direction of rotation, and there would be no reason for the skilled person to adopt any other configuration (cf. col.2, l.16-26 & fig.4). It follows that the remaining feature of claim 1 "wherein the cutting face includes at least two tips which are perpendicular to each other" is not derivable from the available prior art.

D1 is the only prior art disclosure of a tooth with a slot comprising two planar surfaces.

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 meets the requirements of novelty and inventive step, Article 33 PCT.

- 3. D1 further discloses a grinding unit comprising a rotor 16 with a rim, teeth 32 and fixing means 26 for retaining the teeth (see, in particular, fig.3). The unit of claim 5 differs from that of D1 in that:
  - i) the teeth are those of claim 1; and
  - ii) a plurality of slots are provided around the rim, in which slots the teeth are retained.

Concerning feature i), as indicated above the teeth of claim 1 are not suggested by the available prior art.

Concerning feature ii), while various documents disclose rotors with slots provided around the rim, in each case these are disclosed in combination with **non**-slotted teeth. There is no prior art disclosure of a slotted rotor in combination with slotted teeth. It follows that the subject matter of claim 4 meets the requirements of novelty and inventive step, Article 33 PCT.

4. Claims 2-3 & 5-16 relate to preferred embodiments of the tooth and grinding unit of claims 1 & 4 respectively, and so likewise meet the requirements of Article 33 PCT.

### VII. Certain defects in the application

- 1. The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from D1 being placed in the preamble.
- 2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses, Rule 6.2(b) PCT.

#### VIII. Certain observations on the application (clarity)

1. Although claims 1 & 4 are drafted as separate independent claims, they effectively relate to the same subject-matter and differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.